

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Saratoga Springs, New York; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

John Kennedy, CFA, New York + 1 (212) 438 2128; john.kennedy@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Lauren Freire, New York (1) 212-438-7854; lauren.freire@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Negative Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

Saratoga Springs, New York; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$6.4 mil pub imp rfdg (serial) bn ds 2020 due 08/05/2039

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Negative	New
Saratoga Springs GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Negative	Current

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings has assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to Saratoga Springs, N.Y.'s \$6.4 million general obligation (GO) series 2020 public improvement refunding bonds. The outlook is negative.

Saratoga Springs' faith-and-credit pledge secures the bonds. Proceeds will be used to retire the city's series 2011 and 2012 public improvement bonds.

Credit overview

Historically, the city of Saratoga has maintained balanced operations and very strong budgetary flexibility and liquidity, supported by its robust local economy centered on tourism and local attractions. To counterbalance its dependence on economically sensitive revenue, Saratoga maintains high levels of reserves to mitigate fluctuation in these revenue sources. However, in light of the current recession, exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which has led to a sudden stop in New York State's economy because of required stay-at-home orders, the city's tourism-dependent budget is under significant stress. (For more information on S&P Global Economics' latest economic forecast, see the article "The U.S. Faces A Longer And Slower Climb From The Bottom," published June 25, 2020 on RatingsDirect.) Officials estimated that the loss in Saratoga's sensitive revenues is between \$14 million and \$16 million for the current year. Although the city is modifying and reducing expenses, we expect the loss in revenue will outpace expenditure reductions.

The negative outlook reflects the city's dependence on economically sensitive revenue sources, including sales and hotel/motel taxes, which are experiencing a steep decline given the national recession. We believe the drop in revenue could pressure the city's finances, and, in turn, affect overall credit quality.

The rating also reflects our view of the city's:

- Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Strong management, with good financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Adequate budgetary performance, with operating results that we expect could deteriorate as a result of the current pandemic, and the city closing with operating surpluses in the general fund and at the total governmental fund level;

- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2018 of 32% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 28.5% of total governmental fund expenditures and 3.8x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Very weak debt and contingent liability profile, with debt service carrying charges at 7.5% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 122.8% of total governmental fund revenue, and a large pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) obligation and the lack of a plan to sufficiently address it, but low overall net debt at less than 3% of market value; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

Environmental, social, and governance factors

The rating incorporates our view regarding the health and safety risks posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, which has weakened the city's revenue base. Absent the implications of COVID-19, we consider the city's social risks in line with those of the sector. We analyzed Saratoga Springs' environmental and governance risks relative to its economy, management, financial measures, and debt and liability profile, and determined that all are in line with our view of the sector standard.

Negative Outlook

Downside scenario

Our outlook incorporates the likelihood that a national economic recession and resulting revenue challenges will have a negative effect on performance and reserves, but we could lower the rating if negative net operations cause the city's available general fund balance to substantially decline.

Return to stable scenario

If the city is able to limit fund balance drawdowns and maintain balanced operations, we could revise our outlook to stable.

Credit Opinion

Very strong economy

We consider Saratoga Springs' economy very strong. The city, with a population of 26,584, is in Saratoga County in the Albany-Schenectady-Troy MSA, which we consider to be broad and diverse. It has a projected per capita effective buying income of 151% of the national level and per capita market value of \$196,167. Overall, market value grew by 10.9% over the past year, to \$5.2 billion in 2020. Furthermore, despite a historically low county unemployment rate of 3.5% in 2018, rapidly evolving economic conditions because of the pandemic have already affected the labor market (see "U.S. Jobs Market Buckles Under The Coronavirus Pandemic," published April 2, 2020). Given the county's historical exposure to increased unemployment during the Great Recession, which approached 7.0%, we will monitor the long-term effects of the current downturn on the labor market and the economy overall.

A large portion of Saratoga's economic activity involves tourism and education, with attractions including Saratoga Race Course, Saratoga Casino & Raceway, and Saratoga Performing Arts Center (SPAC). These attractions are

vulnerable to the effects of social distancing and other decisions made to protect the health and safety of the community from COVID-19 spread. (For more information, see "The COVID-19 Outbreak Weakens U.S. State and Local Government Credit Conditions," published April 2, 2020). The Saratoga Race Course opened on July 16 but remains closed to spectators. While parts of the Saratoga Casino & Raceway complex opened, the casino itself remains closed. SPAC events remain mostly canceled until August and September. However, downtown stores and restaurants recently reopened with reduced capacity, and officials report optimism from storeowners, who cite strong sales.

Leading city employers include:

- Saratoga Hospital (2,100 employees),
- Skidmore College (1,120),
- Saratoga Springs City School District (1,010),
- Quad Graphics (850), and
- Wesley Health Care (680).

Strong management

We view the city's management as strong, with good financial policies and practices under our FMA methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

The city implemented and formalized reserve and liquidity and debt-management policies in fiscal 2011. Management revised its reserve policy in fiscal 2015 to require the maintenance of unassigned general fund balance at 10%-25% of the budget. With city council approval, management can use amounts exceeding 25% to fund one-time expenses. If the balance decreases to less than 10%, management will prepare and submit a plan to the council to restore the fund balance to the minimum target by either the next budget year or another appropriate period. The city's debt policy adheres to state statutes and contains qualitative stipulations for structuring, issuing, and managing debt.

Management's revenue and expenditure assumptions are conservative. It uses three-to-five years of historical data when developing the budget and provides the council with quarterly reports on budget-to-actual results. The city's investment management policy follows state guidelines; outside of what management presents in the audit, it informally reports cash to the council at various times throughout the year. While the city lacks a formal long-term financial plan, it maintains a six-year capital improvement plan that details funding for each project.

Adequate budgetary performance

Saratoga Springs' budgetary performance is adequate, in our opinion. The city had operating surpluses of 2.7% of expenditures in the general fund and 3.7% across all governmental funds in fiscal 2018. Our assessment accounts for the fact that we expect budgetary results will deteriorate as a result of the pandemic and its effect on the city's revenues.

The fiscal 2019 budget totaled \$47.1 million with an appropriated fund balance of \$1.7 million, as well as \$300,000 in appropriated reserves. The increased budget relates to increased public safety costs. Unaudited year-end results for 2019 show an anticipated use of reserves of \$856,000, significantly below the \$2 million in appropriated reserves.

Management reports expenditures trended as expected; however, the city was made aware of a change in revenue related to racetrack revenue in December, with an unanticipated decline of approximately \$400,000.

The originally adopted fiscal 2020 budget totaled \$48.7 million and was balanced without the use of fund balance. The city has since revised its budget downward to \$47.3 million. Currently, it is anticipating a decline in its economically sensitive revenue, such as sales and motel/hotel taxes. Management projections from May called for a \$14-16 million decline in total Saratoga Springs' revenues, around one-third of the city's 2020 \$48.5 million budget. Budget-to-actuals and subsequent revised projections for July are considerably more benign, although they still project revenues underperforming their historical trends and the budget. Outside of the projected declines, year to date, the city also experienced a decline in certain collections, as some entities delayed paying their share of sales and motel/hotel taxes. Mortgage tax, parking revenue, and ambulance revenue performed well compared with the budgeted amounts. Property taxes generated 38.2% of general fund revenue in fiscal 2018, followed by nonproperty tax revenue, such as sales and hotel taxes at 32.5% and state aid at 9.6%.

To combat the expected loss in revenue, departments have been asked to reduce their budgets by 10%. The city also enacted 90-day worker furloughs, which management estimated could save the city \$2 million-\$3 million. Saratoga Springs reduced bond-funded capital expenses, and expenses still remain below budgeted levels. However, it appears unlikely that the city's main summer attractions, such as SPAC or the Saratoga Race Track, will be fully open and operational during peak summer tourism, which could lead to sustained revenue pressure for the second half of the year. Our expectation is the city's financial profile will decline over the short term and remain pressured throughout the current recessionary period.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

Saratoga Springs' budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2018 of 32% of operating expenditures, or \$14.4 million.

While the city added to reserves to 2018, current expectations are for a slight draw in reserves in 2019. However, despite the draw, the city entered 2020 with very strong available reserves. We expect these reserves will help stabilize operations. However, we also expect the city will use reserves, but the extent of this use is currently unknown. We expect it will maintain reserves at least within its policy limits.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Saratoga Springs' liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 28.5% of total governmental fund expenditures and 3.8x governmental debt service in 2018.

In our view, Saratoga Springs has strong access to external liquidity, if necessary, demonstrated by its access to the capital markets for GO bonds during the past 20 years. It does not invest aggressively because all its holdings are in cash. Additionally, it does not have any exposure to nonremote contingent-liability risks. The city has consistently had very strong liquidity, and we do not expect these ratios to change. We note that it issued a \$6.3 million tax anticipation note in conjunction with the current issue, which will mature in June 2021.

Very weak debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, Saratoga Springs' debt and contingent liability profile is very weak. Total governmental fund debt service is 7.5% of total governmental fund expenditures and net direct debt is 122.8% of total governmental fund revenue.

Overall net debt is low, at 1.5% of market value, which is, in our view, a positive credit factor.

Debt amortization is below average, with 45% of principal being retired during the next 10 years. Management tentatively plans to issue new debt during the next two years for various capital projects; however, because of current economic conditions, the amount is not firm. As a result of the city's below-average amortization and high pension and OPEB carrying charges, we expect its debt and contingent liability profile will likely remain weak.

Pensions and other postemployment benefits

- We view pension and OPEB liabilities as a credit pressure for Saratoga, since costs represent a large portion of the budget, coupled with our expectation that costs will likely increase.
- Saratoga funds OPEB liabilities on a pay-as-you-go basis, which, because of claims volatility and medical cost and demographic trends, is likely to lead to escalating costs. While the city has some legal flexibility to alter OPEBs, it cannot prefund these costs, increasing the risk of these benefits creating budgetary pressure, and altering them might not be politically attainable.
- Minimal pressure is expected from pension liabilities, as strong plan funding exists; however, the plans' aggregate cost method essentially creates an open amortization policy using a level-percent pay basis assuming a 3.8% payroll growth rate, which we generally view negatively because the plans will never reach full funding and costs will increase each year.

As of Dec. 31, 2019, the city participated in:

- The New York State and Local Employees Retirement System, 98.24% funded, with a proportional share of the net pension liability equal to \$1.4 million
- The State and Local Police and Fire Retirement System, 96% funded, with a proportional share of the net pension liability equal to \$3.4 million
- A defined-benefit health care plan that provides retiree health care until death, which is 0% funded and has an OPEB liability of about \$118.8 million.

Saratoga Springs' combined required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 14.3% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2018. Of that amount, 8.5% represented required contributions to pension obligations and 5.8% represented OPEB payments. The city has looked into increasing the age of OPEB recipients and limiting future benefits through labor negotiations, but we think these measures will have a limited effect on the current unfunded liability. Therefore, we expect the combined pension and OPEB carrying charge will likely remain elevated.

Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for New York cities (other than the city of New York) is strong.

Related Research

- [Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020](#)

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.